

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7
8

9
10 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 CARLOS SOLACHE-OROZCO,) CV F 05-0517 LJO WMW HC
18)
19 Petitioner,) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
20) RECOMMENDATIONS RE MOTION TO
21) DISMISS
22) [Doc. [9](#), [11](#)]
23 PAUL M. SCHULTZ,)
24)
25 Respondent.)
26
27

28 Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus
1 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge
2 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.

3 On February 14, 2007, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein.
4 These findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice to the
5 parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within thirty
6 days. No objections were filed.

7 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)C this court has conducted a
8 de novo review of this case. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454
9 (9th Cir. 1983). Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and
10 recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

2 1) Respondent's motion to dismiss is GRANTED;
3 2) This petition for writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED;
4 3) The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment for Respondent and to close this
5 case.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 **Dated:** March 22, 2007
b9ed48

8 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28